



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,156	11/19/2001	Anders Bjorling	P01,0261	8752
26574 75	590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			. MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	09/993,156	BJORLING, ANDERS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph S. Machuga	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	May 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are claim(s) <u>1-15,18-22,24-28,31-35 and 38-40</u> is/are reject is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration. s/are allowed. ected.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication Noeceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/993,156

Art Unit: 3762

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's drawing corrections are acceptable to overcome the prior objections. Applicant's amendments and/or arguments concerning the prior rejections under 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph are deemed persuasive. Most of applicant's arguments regarding the previous rejection under 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph are also deemed persuasive. However, regarding the issue of the maximum deflection, since it was explained that the peak to peak or the baseline to peak could be the aforementioned maximum deflection. The distinction between the amplitude and the downstroke is now raised since they appear to be the same by this definition.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16, 17, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,156

Art Unit: 3762

The specification fails to clear explain what the maximum deflection is. Specifically, the negative downstroke and the distinction between it and the amplitude. Clarification is needed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 29 and dependent claim 30 "said filtering with a matched filter having a template" on line 2 should be presented as an apparatus limitation rather than a method limitation. Presently the claim is indefinite. In claim 23 the particulars of claims 24 are recited therein making the claim indefinite.

Claims 1-15, 18-22, 24-28, 31-35, 38-40 are allowable.

All claims appear to avoid the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,156

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Losph Smaly

Page 4

JEFFREY R. JASTRZAB PEMARY EXAMINER

9 17/04